

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256742323>

A Model for Evaluating Healthcare Simulation Systems

Conference Paper · March 2013

CITATIONS

0

READS

84

6 authors, including:



Bob Armstrong
Eastern Virginia Medical School

12 PUBLICATIONS 309 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Erik Prytz
Linköping University

59 PUBLICATIONS 163 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

Effective and Efficient Emergency Response at the Incident Site of Tomorrow [View project](#)

Project

TeamSTEPPS taught with an Avatar Guide using a Computer game based platform. [View project](#)

A Model for Evaluating Healthcare Simulation Systems

Erik Prytz, Michael Montano, Rebecca Kennedy, Brittany
Anderson-Montoya, Mark Scerbo
Psychology, Old Dominion University

Andrea Parodi
Virginia Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation Center, Old
Dominion University

Bob Armstrong
National Center for Collaboration in Medical Modeling &
Simulation, Eastern Virginia Medical School

Introduction

- ◎ **Laboratory for Investigation, Validation, and Verification of Emerging Simulators**
 - **LIVES Lab**

LIVES Lab: Mission

- ◎ To establish a functional model to evaluate healthcare simulation systems based on sound scientific principles, national and international standards, and industry-established best practices.
- ◎ To inform the greater medical community of the effectiveness, ease of use, consumer satisfaction, reliability, validity, and durability of medical simulators and simulations.

LIVES Lab: Stakeholders

- ◎ Established by: National Center for Collaboration in Medical Modeling & Simulation (NCCMMS)
- ◎ NCCMMS is a joint venture
 - Eastern Virginia Medical School (EVMS)
 - Old Dominion University's Virginia Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation Center (VMASC)

The LIVES Lab Team

◎ Multidisciplinary:

- clinical medicine and nursing,
- professional graduate medical and healthcare education,
- bioengineering,
- human factors psychology,
- computer science,
- modeling, simulation, and visualization,
- and others.

LIVES Lab: Human Factors

- ◎ One goal: Apply Human Factors assessment methods to medical and healthcare training simulators and simulations
- ◎ Assessment process comparable to that used for medical devices intended for direct patient use
- ◎ Methods include
 - Rigorous experimental design
 - Test cases
 - Validated questionnaires
 - Performance metrics
 - Established standards and guidelines

LIVES Lab: Standards

- ◎ ANSI/AAMI HE75
 - HF Engineering: Design of medical devices
- ◎ ANSI/HFES 200
 - HF Engineering of software user interfaces
- ◎ ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62366
 - Application of usability engineering to medical devices
- ◎ ISO/IEC 25010
 - Systems and software quality evaluation
- ◎ Among others...

LIVES Lab: Current Work

- ◎ Assess current healthcare simulators that exists on the marketplace
- ◎ Define an evaluation process based on current standards (e.g., the ISO25010)

LIVES Lab: Current Work

The evaluation process:

- ◉ Addresses the purpose and requirements of the simulator
- ◉ Establishes a quality model
- ◉ Employs hierarchical/cognitive task analyses (HTAs & CTAs)
 - For the training task
 - For the simuland task
- ◉ Includes Subject-Matter Expert (SME) interviews
- ◉ Addresses best clinical practices
- ◉ Includes user testing

LIVES Lab: Current Work

- ◎ Initial evaluation: Virtual I.V. Self-Directed Learning System
- ◎ Two goals:
 - To test the established evaluation process
 - To deliver a comprehensive report to potential users and consumers of the system that may inform purchasing decisions and system usage

LIVES Lab: Current Work

◎ Established Quality Model

- System purpose
- User population
- SME population
- Functionality
- Reliability
- Usability
- Efficiency
- Maintainability
- Portability

LIVES Lab: Current Work

◎ Usability tests:

- HTA/CTA
- Standards checklists
- SME interviews
- User testing
 - Questionnaires
 - Performance metrics
 - Unstructured user interviews



LIVES Lab: Initial Results

◎ Initial Results:

- Interface easy to use, but some problem areas (e.g., icon design) identified
- Follows most standards, but falls short on fault tolerance and customizability
- SMEs and users agreed on the usefulness of the system, but noted some problems (irreversible errors, lack of flexibility, poor representation of psychomotor tasks)
- May properly train procedural skills, but not social or psychomotor skills

LIVES Lab: Initial Results

◎ Process Evaluation

- The evaluation demonstrated the utility of the established process
- Areas of improvement were identified and addressed
- Established working procedures among members of the multidisciplinary team
- First step to establishing a body of simulation/simulator evaluations

Takeaway

- ◎ LIVES Lab is a new entity that will work to inform the greater user community of healthcare and medical simulators and simulations.
- ◎ LIVES will apply scientifically sound testing procedures derived from established industry standards with a multidisciplinary approach
- ◎ LIVES is an independent entity that does not produce competing products for the medical simulation market

Questions?

Dr. Andrea Parodi: aparodi@odu.edu

Dr. Mark Scerbo: mscerbo@odu.edu

Bob Armstrong: armstrrk@evms.edu



EVMS

ODU

